Effect of water hyacinth root extract on arsenic level in different organs of arsenic-treated rat
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Abstract

The present study investigated whether the administration of the ethanol extract of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) ameliorates arsenic from arsenic-treated rats. To induce arsenic accumulation in different organs, arsenic trioxide was administered orally by gavage at a dose of 500 µg/rat/day for 7 days. In search of an effective therapeutic agent to counteract arsenic accumulation and arsenic-induced oxidative stress, different concentrations of ethanol extract of root of water hyacinth (100, 75, 50, and 25%) were administered by intraperitoneal injection for the last two days which significantly reduced the arsenic accumulation in liver, spleen, kidney, intestine, lungs and skin. Besides, it reduced the oxidative stress caused by arsenic, which was evident by decreased levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) in the above organs. Treatment with either extract 50% or with α-tocopherol was applied singly and in combination to find out result for comparison of effectiveness on arsenic removal. But the reduction of arsenic and MDA was not dose dependent and not parallel. Water hyacinth, at the dose of 50% extract was observed to be most effective.
solution was less than WHO guideline value of 10 µg/L (Rmalli et al., 2005). In these circumstances, many researchers try to find out the curative treatment of arsenic poisoning in short duration. Whether the drug from herbal or from other sources could be effective and cheaper without any serious side effects and a great benefit could be obtained for the mankind. Water hyacinth is one such herbal product. Water hyacinth is a rich source of protein content and other nutritional values and has chelating effect. It grows locally in the pond of Bangladesh and free of cost. It is established that the root of water hyacinth remove arsenic from arsenic contaminated water (Misbahuddin et al., 2002). Therefore, the present study has been designed to find out whether extract of the root of water hyacinth can remove arsenic from different organs of arsenic-treated rat.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Arsenic trioxide (As$_2$O$_3$), silver diethyldithiocarbamate (SDDC), hexamethylen-tetramine and other reagents for arsenic estimation were purchased from E. Merck (Germany). Thiobarbituric acid and bovine serum albumin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie (USA).

Collection of dried roots of water hyacinth

Fifteen kilogram roots of water hyacinth were obtained from the local pond. The roots were cleaned, washed and dried in the air at room temperature. After drying, the roots were chopped and then crushed by blender machine.

Ethanol extract of root of water hyacinth

The dried 2 kg roots of water hyacinth after crushing was soaked in 5 liters of 80% ethanol (ethanol: deionized water; 4:1) in a clean amber colored glass container for 24 hours. After 24 hours, it was filtered. The filtrate was condensed by rotatory vacuum evaporator (Buchi Rotavapor R-114, Switzerland) at 40°C and 60-70 revolutions per min. Total 305 mL of yellowish-green colored extract was obtained and preserved in an amber colored bottle at -20°C.

Dilution of ethanol extract

Ethanol extract of water hyacinth root 75, 50 and 25% solutions were prepared by dissolving 75, 50 and 25 mL of water hyacinth extract concentrate in 25, 50 and 75 mL of deionized distilled water. Undiluted extract was considered as 100% solution. All the samples were preserved in amber color bottles at -20°C before use.

Procedure

Rats were randomly divided into 6 groups to observe the effect of different concentrations of water hyacinth extract on arsenic removal. All the rats received normal diet and water ad libitum with or without arsenic (500 µg/day) through gavage for 7 days. Different concentrations of water hyacinth root extract were administered by intraperitoneal injection on day 6 and 7. In some experiments, α-tocopherol (3.5 mg/rat/day) was administered through gavage.

Sacrifice of animals, collection and preservation of organs

The rats of all groups were sacrificed on day 8. Sacrifice procedure was performed under light chloroform anesthesia in between 9-10 AM. Rats were kept fasting overnight the day before sacrifice. The abdomen of each rat was opened by midline incision and extended to open the thorax. Then the liver, spleen, kidneys, intestine, and lungs were dissected out and skin was isolated. These organs were packed in separate polyethylene packets with accurately labeled and preserved at -20°C until analysis.

Preparation of tissue homogenate

At first the tissue was taken in a petri dish containing Tyrode’s solution at 0-4°C (resting in an ice bath). Tissue was cleaned properly, chopped into small pieces and homogenized in a hand tissue homogenizer with 4 mL Tyrode’s solution by keeping the homogenizer in the ice bath.

Estimation of tissue arsenic level (SDDC method; Bauer, 1982)

Inorganic arsenic was reduced to arsine (AsH$_3$) by zinc in strong acid solution in an arsenic generator. The arsenic was then passed through a scrubber containing cotton wool moistened with lead acetate and into an absorber tube containing SDDC (silver diethyldithiocarbamate) dissolved in chloroform. The arsine reacted with the silver salt, forming a soluble red color complex whose absorbance was read in a spectrophotometer (UV-VIS Spectrophotometer-1201, Shimadzu, Japan). The maximum absorbance of the resulting color complex is at 525 nm. It has two steps: Acid digestion and arsenic generation.

Zn + As$_2$O$_3$ + HCl ® AsH$_3$ + ZnCl$_2$ + H$_2$O

Acid digestion

From the particular organ the tissues were cleaned
properly from fascia and clotted blood with Tyrode’s solution in a petri dish keeping it on the ice bath. It was carefully weighed of 300 mg spleen and 500 mg in case of other five tissues and was taken in 100 mL conical flasks. In each conical flask 2 mL concentrated sulfuric acid, 3 mL concentrated nitric acid and 2 mL concentrated perchloric acid were added. But in case of blank and standard, no tissues were added, instead of tissue in standard 20 µL of prepared arsenic stock solution of which contain 20 µg of arsenic was added. The flasks were allowed to stand for 10 min then gentle heat was applied on the digestion plant. As the reaction subsided the heat was increased and kept on the flame till the contents of the flask became colorless. Then the flame was turned off and the flasks were allowed to cool. When the digestion was completed all the carbonaceous material should had been destroyed, resulting in a solution of heavy metals in acid. The color of the flask became yellowish-brown.

**Arsine generation**

After digestion of the tissue with strong acids, the trivalent arsenic was treated with zinc and hydrochloric acid to liberate arsine gas, which was chelated by SDDC. The whole process took place in an airtight glass apparatus named arsine generator. It has two detachable parts-generation part and scrubber with absorber part. After cooling the flasks, the digest contents were transferred to the clean and dry 250 mL conical flasks. The contents were diluted with deionized distilled water and 3 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added to make the volume of 35 mL. To each flask 50 µL potassium iodide was added. After some time 100-200 µL stannous chloride was added to each flask drop by drop till the contents of the flask became clear. Plugs of cotton wool wetted with a few drops of 10% lead acetate that were inserted in the scrubber part of the arsine generator assembly. Three milliliter of SDDC was taken in each absorber part of arsine generator and 3 g zinc pellets were added to each flask. Then, immediately absorber part was joined tightly to generator flask. Within minutes, reaction begun and bubbling was observed as arsine gas was generated. The reaction was allowed to continue for 30 min. After 30 minutes each sample was transferred in a clean test tube and used for colorimetric measurement of arsenic. The color reagent was transferred to a glass cuvette and the absorbance was measured at 525 nm in spectrophotometer against blank.

**Lipid peroxidation assay**

Lipid peroxidation was estimated using the thiobarbituric acid method to determine the level of MDA, which serves as the index of lipid peroxidation (Hagen et al., 1999). One milliliter of tissue homogenate was taken in a test tube and reacted with 4.5 mL of 5.5% TCA. The mixture was vortexed (Vortex mixer- 2000, Digisystem Laboratory, Taiwan) and centrifuged at 1,725× g for 10 min. The supernatant was poured into another test tube; 1 mL of 0.7% thiobarbituric acid was added to it. The mixture was kept in a boiling water bath (100°C) for 10 min, forming a pink color solution. The mixture was then cooled immediately and the absorbance was measured at 532 nm by spectrophotometer. Lipid peroxidation was calculated using a molar extinction coefficient for MDA of 1.56 × 10⁵ mol/L.

**Total protein estimation**

The protein content of tissue homogenate used for the study was estimated by Lowry’s method (Lowry et al., 1951). Test tubes were cleaned, properly air dried and labeled as blank, standard and samples. Two mL of the solution (sodium carbonate 2%; sodium potassium tartrate 1%; copper sulfate 0.5%; 48:1:1) was taken to each test tube. After that 25 µL of the sample (tissue homogenate) and 15 µL of physiological solution to the labeled test tube for sample, 40 µL physiological solution to blank labeled test tube, and 40 µL (160 µg) of BSA was added to the standard labeled test tube. They were vortexed and preincubated at room temperature for 10 min. Then 200 µL of dilute folin phenol solution was added to each test tube and immediately vortexed. The test tubes were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Absorbance of each sample was then determined at 600 nm wavelength by spectrophotometer.

**Data collection and statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done by SPSS software, Version 10.0, USA. The quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± SD. ANOVA (multiple comparisons) was done for statistical analysis. Post hoc analysis of differences were done by unpaired ‘t’ test.

**Results**

The concentration of arsenic in liver, spleen, kidneys, intestine, lungs and skin were estimated both in the presence or absence of arsenic and ethanol extract of water hyacinth. Arsenic level (mean ± SD) of control rat was 3.5 ± 0.6 µg/g of tissue in liver. But it increased to 26.8 ± 2.7 µg/g of tissue when rats were treated with arsenic 500 µg/day for 7 days (Table I). That is, there was about 8-fold increase in arsenic accumulation and was statistically significant (p<0.001). Treatment with different concentrations (100, 75, 50, 25%) of water
Hyacinth extract for the last two days produced significant depletion of arsenic than that of arsenic-treated group. Maximum reduction was observed in 50% extract treated group (66.0%). The concentration was 8.9 ± 1.4 µg/g of tissue. No significant difference found among the groups- 50, 75 and 100% extract treated in arsenic depleting action. Comparing the effect of 50% water hyacinth extract with α-tocopherol showed that there was more reduction of arsenic by α-tocopherol alone than by 50% extract (74.5 vs 53.6%).

### Table I

**Effect of different concentrations of water hyacinth extract on arsenic and malondialdehyde level in rat liver**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (n = 6)</th>
<th>Arsenic</th>
<th>Malondialdehyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concentration in µg/g (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>%Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>26.8 ± 2.7</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.E 100</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>10.9 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.E 50</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatments:** C = Control, A = Arsenic, A.E 100 = Arsenic plus extract 100%, A.E 75 = Arsenic plus extract 75%, A.E 50 = Arsenic plus extract 50%, A.E 25 = Arsenic plus extract 25%. **Concentration of arsenic:** Significant difference C vs A, A.E 100, A.E 75, A.E 50 and A.E 25 at P<0.001; A vs A.E 100, A.E 75, A.E 50 and A.E 25 at P<0.001; A.E 100 vs A.E 25 at P<0.001; A.E 75 vs A.E 25 at P<0.001; A.E 50 vs A.E 25 at P<0.001; and no significant difference A.E 100 vs A.E 75 and A.E 50, and A.E 75 vs A.E 50. **Concentration of malondialdehyde:** Significant difference C vs A, A.E 100, A.E 75, A.E 50 and A.E 25 at P<0.001; A vs A.E 100, A.E 75, A.E 50 and A.E 25 at P<0.01, P<0.001, P<0.001 and P<0.01 respectively; A.E 100 vs A.E 50 at P<0.05; A.E 50 vs A.E 25 at P<0.001; and no significant difference A.E 100 vs A.E 75 and 25, A.E 75 vs A.E 50, and A.E 75 vs A.E 25.

### Table II

**Effect of α-tocopherol and water hyacinth extract administered alone or in combination on arsenic and malondialdehyde level in rat liver**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (n = 6)</th>
<th>Arsenic</th>
<th>Malondialdehyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concentration in µg/g (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>%Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>23.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.E</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.T</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.T.E</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatments:** A = Arsenic, A.E = Arsenic plus extract 50%, A.T = Arsenic plus α-tocopherol, A.T.E = Arsenic plus α-tocopherol plus extract 50%. **Concentration of arsenic:** Significant difference A vs A.E, A.T and A.T.E at P<0.001; A.E vs A.T and A.T.E at P<0.001; and no significant difference A.T vs A.T.E. **Concentration of malondialdehyde:** Significant difference A vs A.E, A.T and A.T.E at P<0.001; and no significant difference A.E vs A.T and A.T.E, and A.T vs A.T.E.

### Table III

**Removal of arsenic from arsenic loaded organs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>%Removal of arsenic from organs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 100%</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 75%</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 50%</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 25%</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, no additive effect was observed when both were administered simultaneously (Table II).

The mean amount of arsenic in the intestine, lung, kidney, spleen and skin of normal healthy rats were 3.86, 3.58, 5.47, 4.28, 3.23 µg/g tissues. That is, kidney showed highest amount of arsenic whereas skin showed lowest amount of arsenic. Treatment of arsenic for 7 days increased the arsenic levels (intestine- 22.5, lung- 13.1, kidney- 20.5, spleen- 26.8 and skin- 9.5 µg/g tissue). Treatment with 100, 75, 50, 25% ethanol extract for last 2 days decreased the arsenic level significantly (Table III).

In normal healthy rat liver the mean MDA level was 3.4 ± 0.6 nmol/mg protein. But on exposure to arsenic the level increased to 12.1 ± 1.6 nmol/mg protein (about 4 times; p<0.001). Administration of different concentrations of water hyacinth extract reduced MDA significantly. Maximum reduction (27.2%) in extract 50% which was 8.7 ± 0.7 nmol/mg protein. Treatment with 100, 75, 50, 25% ethanol extract for last 2 days decreased the arsenic level significantly (Table III).

In another study, 50% extract and α-tocopherol was compared to see the effectiveness in the removal of arsenic and MDA. α-tocopherol was more effective for the removal of arsenic in intestine and skin. In lung, kidney, liver and spleen, there was no significant difference between 50% extract and α-tocopherol for removal of arsenic. It was observed that there was no significant difference of 50% extract and α-tocopherol or both for decreasing the MDA of liver, skin, intestine, spleen and lungs. No additive effect was in simultaneous administration. However, the study also explored the possibility of combined administration of α-tocopherol and extract 50% to achieve better reverse-

Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Malondialdehyde level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 100%</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 75%</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 50%</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic + water hyacinth root extract 25%</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The present study was carried out to investigate whether the active compound of ethanol extract of water hyacinth can combat arsenic poisoning. This work is extremely important in the context of Bangladesh, when arsenic poisoning has been found as the biggest environmental health hazard in the country, in the world and further because there is no specific treatment to confront the hazard. In this study, we found that concentration of arsenic in different tissues of the control rats were low.

Experimentally the rat was arsenic treated by administering arsenic trioxide solution through gavage, 500 µg for consecutive seven days. Treatment showed concentration of arsenic increased in different tissues (3-8-fold). In arsenic treated group, higher level was in the liver, probably due to high blood supply and toxic amount of arsenic which the liver could not metabolize. The MDA level of the tissues also increased in arsenic treated groups (2-4-fold). During the last two days, different concentrations of ethanol extract of the root of water hyacinth were administered to different groups of rat. Effectiveness of different concentrations of water hyacinth extract was due to enhanced removal of arsenic, as evidenced by decreased concentration of arsenic in six organs as compared with control arsenic-treated group. But the removal of arsenic of different concentrations was not the same. Among the different concentrations, 50% extract-treated group was more effective, 25% was less effective. Removal of arsenic was not dose dependent and did not increase after increasing the dose beyond 50%. But the water hyacinth extract was not equally effective in the removal of arsenic and MDA in the tissues. In all the tissues, 60-70% arsenic was removed by 50% extract, except in the skin.
bility of arsenic-induced increase MDA in kidney than individual treatment.

High protein content of water hyacinth may enhance the excretion of arsenic by increasing methylation. Cytokinins are plant hormones that regulate protein synthesis. Methylation is considered the detoxification pathway of inorganic arsenic. The source of methyl groups for arsenic methylation is S-adenosylmethionine. Population thriving on diets low in methionine is likely to suffer more from high arsenic toxicity. Sulfur containing amino acids e.g. methionine, cystine and protein deficiency are considered to decrease the cofactors necessary to synthesize S-adenosylmethionine. Water hyacinth contains methionine (Duke, 1983). From previous works it is well documented that micronutrients and anti-oxidants has significant role in the treatment of chronic arsenic poisoning. Selenium, zinc iron, spirulina (Saha et al., 2005), lipoic acid (Tabassum, 2006), spinach extract (Umar, 2007), ascorbic acid and α-tocopherol all have ameliorating role against chronic arsenic poisoning. Water hyacinth is a rich source of amino acid, micronutrients and anti-oxidants, which could be a good solution for management of arsenicosis. It contains β-carotene, amino acid, melatonin, trace element, all of which have anti-oxidant property.

Water hyacinth removes arsenic from arsenic contaminated drinking water (Misbahuddin et al., 2002). But the role of water hyacinth in the removal of arsenic, at the tissue level is not yet established.

It was already evident from previous studies that administering arsenic to rats for different periods induces significant increase in arsenic accumulation (Nasir et al., 2002; Umar, 2007). In this study, emphasis was given on the role of water hyacinth extract to remove arsenic from different organs. It was sought to establish a relationship between tissue arsenic level and lipid peroxidation. In this study, arsenic treatment resulted in a significant increase in lipid peroxidation in all the organs. Oxidative stress due to enhanced production of free radicals has been demonstrated as one of the several mechanisms involved in arsenic-induced toxic effects in different organs. O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ injure cells as a result of the generation of a more potent oxidizing species. In addition to O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$, the third essential component of the complex that mediates the lethal cell injury is a cellular source of ferric iron. O$_2^-$ reduces a cellular source of ferric to ferrous iron, and the latter then reacts with H$_2$O$_2$ to produce a more potent oxidizing species, like the OH$^-$ or an equivalently reactive species. In turn, OH$^-$ initiates the peroxidative decomposition of the phospholipids of cellular membranes. OH$^-$ also damages the inner mitochondrial membrane. Upon mitochondrial deenergization, sequence of events is initiated that similarly leads to the loss of viability of the cell (Farber, 1994).

Nandi et al., 2005 showed the ameliorative potential of certain amino acids like cysteine, methionine and vitamins like ascorbic acid and thiamine on oxidative stress. Arsenic exposure resulted in significantly higher accumulation of arsenic in blood, liver and kidney. Similar results were documented in the tissues of this current study. Treatment with vitamins and amino acids resulted in reversal of oxidative stress with decline in tissue arsenic burden. All the treatment produced tissue specific changes in lipid peroxide level, antioxidant enzyme activities and tissue arsenic burden. α-tocopherol and 50% extract had no additive effect, because water hyacinth may either exert maximum efficacy or both have different mechanism. The mechanism of water hyacinth may be chelation.

**Conclusion**

Root of water hyacinth may be effective in the removal of arsenic. However, studies are required to examine the effect on other animals and patients of chronic arsenic poisoning.
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